

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT - U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-01107-WHA

Name: SD Redmond
Address: 210 S. Ellsworth Ave, #1275
San Mateo, CA 94401
Phone Number: 510-868-2862
Pro se disabled non-represented Plaintiff
<http://scottredmond.us>

EVIDENCE/EXHIBIT COPIES AT:

EVIDENCE/EXHIBIT 1 COPIES ATT.
<https://www.majestic11.com>
<http://www.the-truth-about-the-dept-of-energy.com>
<https://san-francisco-news.com>
<http://www.federal-report.com>

PLAINTIFF, A Pro Se, disabled, non-lawyer, federal witness who has requested Court appointed counsel

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
(UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION)**

SD REDMOND, a pro se non-lawyer

) CASE NO.: 3:22-cv-01107-WHA

PLAINTIFF,

) EXHIBIT – U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff
)) Assertions – PART TWO

V.

-) INTENTIONAL FRAUD; CONCEALMENT
-) FRAUD; NEGLIGENT
-) MISREPRESENTATION; INTENTIONAL
-) INFILCTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS;
-) RICO RACKETEERING;
-) ANTI-TRUST/MONOPOLY; COURT
-) ORDER DEMANDED FOR FULL SSDI
-) BACK PAYMENTS; AND SUCH OTHER
-) CLAIMS LISTED HEREIN UNDER
“CLAIMS” SECTION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

DEFENDANTS

Filed: July 30, 2022

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

**ADDITIONAL EXHIBITS TO BE FILED
ELECTRONICALLY AND NOW
AVAILABLE, ON THE WEB, TO THE
PUBLIC, GLOBALLY, AT LINKS
ABOVE AND MIRROR SITES**

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1 As stated, a key aspect of Plaintiff's lawsuit rests in the assertion that Plaintiff's own elected
2 and appointed United States Government officials operated a scam enterprise within the
3 government based around using the stock market for bribes, insider trading and quid pro quo
4 payola.

5 Political whiners and insider shills have clutched their pearls and wrung their hands about
6 the fact that a public citizen would declare any member of Congress to be a crook...YET.. other
7 members of Congress have declared most of their peers to be crooks.

8 ***"The Bipartisan Ban on Congressional Stock Ownership Act" is a bill now moving***
9 ***through Congress that Plaintiff has consulted on. The mere fact of this Bill's existence, not to***
10 ***mention the voluminous studies behind it, prove Plaintiffs assertions.***

11 ***Facebook, Netflix, Google, YouTube, Linkedin, Tesla, SpaceX***, etc, pay their ***CRIMINAL***
12 political bribes using stock market tricks.

13 You can see **EXACTLY** which scumbag politicians and which of their corrupt Silicon
14 Valley financiers are dirty by watching to see which ones spend money to try to defeat this bill. It is
15 RACKETEERING when government funds are hard-wired to just one tiny group of investors who
16 all party at each other's houses. Want to see the proof? It is in the FINCEN, SEC, Goldman Sachs
17 and JP Morgan files that can be presented to any jury or Congressional investigation hearing.

18 The dirty political manipulators have something in common. They are almost all, ALSO,
19 guilty of: Money Laundering; Sex Trafficking; Family Alcoholism; Political Bribery; Stock Market
20 Manipulations; Hookers; Media Censorship; Misogyny; Dynastic Family Manipulations of Public
21 Policy; Election Rigging; Search Engine Bias; Monopolies; Recession Causing Market Trusts;
22 Racism; Brotopian Frat Boyism; Secret Offshore Shell Corporations; Venture Capital Black-Lists;
23 Patent Thefts; Payola; Graft; Corrupt Lobbyists; Using Your Democracy As Their Play-Thing;
24 Hacking; User Privacy Abuse; Insider Trading Bribes; Causing Teen Suicides; Public Shootings
25 Incitement By Linking Facebook, Instagram, Google Shooter PR; Tracking Of Women Seeking
26 Abortions; Patent and Trade Secret Infringement; Tracking Of Assurance Phone-Using Poor
27 People; Harassment Of Consumers Filing Complaints Against T-Mobile; Black-Listing Of
28 Customers And Service Cut-Offs; RICO Law Violations; Stock Market Payola To Public Officials;
Profits-Over-Privacy-Safety; Anti-Trust Law Violations; Using, Or Supporting Fusion GPS - Black

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1 Cube -Types Of Reprisal Attacks and a hundred other illicit things.

2 United States Senators Elizabeth Warren (D-Mass.), Steve Daines (R-Mont.), Marsha
3 Blackburn (R-Tenn.), and Debbie Stabenow (D-Mich.) introduced new, bipartisan legislation to ban
4 Members of Congress and their spouses from owning and trading stocks. The legislation is the first
5 bipartisan proposal in the Senate to prevent members of Congress from owning and trading stock.
6 Representatives Pramila Jayapal (D-Wash.) and Matt Rosendale (R-Mont.) are introducing the bill in
7 the House of Representatives.

8 "No one should ever have to wonder whether their Member of Congress is working for the
9 public interest or their own financial interest. I've fought for years to root out corruption in
10 Washington, and to ban federal officials from owning and trading individual stocks," **said Senator**
11 **Warren.** "With Senator Daines and this strong coalition, I'm proud to introduce the first
12 bipartisan bill in the Senate on this critical issue, and now we have a real chance to get it done."

14 "Congress is here to serve, not be served. The American people should have faith in that
15 fact," **Senator Daines said.** "Members shouldn't be able to make legislative decisions or use their
16 platform and influence to benefit themselves personally, which is why it should be no question that
17 owning and trading stocks should be banned. I'm glad to work across the aisle with Senator Warren
18 on a bipartisan compromise to help restore the American people's faith in Congress and hold
19 Members accountable to the folks they're serving."

20 "Washington has lost the trust of the American people. Allowing members of Congress to
21 trade individual stocks can create the perception of corruption and a conflict of interest. I'm glad to
22 join my colleagues in introducing this bipartisan legislation to help restore Americans' trust in
23 democracy," **said Senator Blackburn.**

25 "There is an inherent conflict of interest for Members of Congress who have access to
26 information impacting the stock market that is not available to the general public. Legislators have
27 access to information and create laws that can impact entire industries. These actions can have a
28 significant influence on stock prices. This legislation will prevent potential conflicts of interest and

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1 strengthen public trust in our government," **said Senator Stabenow.**

2 "Members of Congress were elected to serve the people, not their personal financial
3 interests. But as long as members and spouses are allowed to hold and trade stocks, we keep the door
4 open to corruption—and that cannot stand. I'm proud to join Senator Warren and our colleagues to
5 introduce this legislation today and take this essential step to raising the ethical standards of
6 Congress. It's good policy, and it's simply the right thing to do," **said Congresswoman Jayapal,**
7 **chair of the Congressional Progressive Caucus.**

8 "I'm proud to introduce the bicameral *Bipartisan Ban on Congressional Stock Ownership*
9 *Act* with Congresswoman Jayapal, Senator Daines, and Senator Warren. Banning insider trading by
10 Members of Congress is a critical step to restoring public confidence in our institutions and ensuring
11 the interests of the American people come before personal financial gain," **said Representative**
12 **Rosendale.** "It's unacceptable that so many career politicians abuse their office to enrich
13 themselves. Public servants must be held to a higher standard and our bill will help prevent such
14 conflicts of interest."

15 In important respects, the *Bipartisan Ban on Congressional Stock Ownership Act* goes
16 beyond current proposals to ban stock trading, and additionally bans the ownership of
17 individual stocks. Specifically, the legislation:

- 20 • Bans Members of Congress and their spouses from owning and trading individual
21 stocks, bonds, commodities, futures, and other securities including an interest in a hedge
22 fund, a derivative, an option or other complex investment vehicle. It does not ban common,
23 widely held funds, such as mutual funds and ETFs, as long as those funds do not present a
24 conflict of interest and are diversified.
- 25 • Allows a transition period for lawmakers to divest their holdings and come into
26 compliance, including a similar period for newly elected Members starting when they're
27 sworn in.
- 28 • Establishes a civil penalty of up to \$50,000 for each violation. The Department of

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1 Justice and the U.S. Office of Special Counsel would enforce the law.

2 • Includes a provision which allows deferral of taxation of gains on investments that
3 Members of Congress and their spouses are forced to divest.

4 The legislation is endorsed by the Project On Government Oversight.
5

6 “Congress has for a long time failed to hold itself to the kinds of high ethical standards that
7 the American people expect and deserve,” **said Danielle Brian, Executive Director of the Project**
8 **On Government Oversight**. “Capitalizing on their privileged positions and their access to
9 nonpublic information through inappropriate stock trading is one glaring example of this problem.
10 Recent examples of real or potential insider trading by members of Congress and their spouses as
11 well as reports of violations of disclosure laws meant to crack down on this behavior have made this
12 issue even more pressing than usual. That is why Senator Warren and Senator Daines should be
13 applauded for introducing a strong bill that would take a momentous first step toward cleaning up
14 Congress by banning members of Congress and their spouses from owning stocks. POGO looks
15 forward to working with the Senators and the rest of Congress to get these reforms enacted swiftly.”
16

17 Around San Francisco and Silicon Valley there are over 50 individuals and organizations
18 that sell young men and women to high net worth tech oligarchs and politicians.

19 They conduct their business at The Bohemian Club, The San Francisco Opera Gala, Google
20 parties, NETFLIX lunch parks, The MOMA Gala, The Pacific States Crafts Fair opening night and
21 over-priced restaurants. If the event has the word “gala” in it, the procurers are there. If the
22 restaurant has a bar and the name is ‘La Petite....’ and the wine costs more than \$10 bucks per
23 glass, they are there too.

24
25 Most of my rich friends family members will proclaim: “*My dad would never be involved*
26 *with sex trafficking!!!!!!*”

27 But most of the rich dad’s in the Bay Area ARE involved in sex trafficking. When these
28

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1 married rich dad's go for a drink on University Avenue in Palo Alto and pick up a Stanford co-ed at
2 the bar and take her up the road to the Rose Wood hotel on Sand Hill Road, put their penis in her
3 butt and give her \$1000.00: THAT IS SEX TRAFFICKING!

4 Jeff Epstein did SEX TRAFFICKING! So do the husbands of multiple Bay Area Senators.
5

6 It is happening every day and the family members willful blindness and ability to deny,
7 deflect and defer the truth is a shame.

8
9 Around San Francisco and Silicon Valley there are over 2000 individuals and organizations
10 that help high net worth tech oligarchs and politicians launder their money. They are called CPA's
11 or 'wealth managers' or 'financial advisors'. They transfer money to Switzerland and the Cayman
12 Island's so the feds and the divorcees don't find it. They move the money to and from real estate
13 property to family trusts and then to government officials.

14 Most of my rich friends family members will proclaim: "*My dad would never be involved
15 with money laundering!!!!!!*"
16

17 It is happening every day and the family members willful blindness and ability to deny,
18 deflect and defer the truth is a shame.

19
20 The same goes for the Family Alcoholism; Political Bribery; Stock Market Manipulations;
21 Hookers; Media Censorship; Misogyny; Dynastic Family Manipulations of Public Policy; Election
22 Rigging; Search Engine Bias; Monopolies; Recession Causing Market Trusts; Racism; Brotopian
23 Frat Boyism; Secret Offshore Shell Corporations; Venture Capital Black-Lists; Patent Thefts;
24 Payola; Graft; Corrupt Lobbyists; Using Your Democracy As Their Play-Thing; Hacking; User
25 Privacy Abuse; Insider Trading Bribes; Causing Teen Suicides; Public Shootings Incitement By
26 Linking Facebook, Instagram, Google Shooter PR; Tracking Of Women Seeking Abortions; Patent
27 and Trade Secret Infringement; Tracking Of Assurance Phone-Using Poor People; Harassment Of
28 Consumers Filing Complaints; Black-Listing Of Customers And Service Cut-Offs; RICO Law

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1 Violations; Stock Market Payola To Public Officials; Profits-Over-Privacy-Safety; Anti-Trust Law
2 Violations; Using, Or Supporting Fusion GPS – Black Cube -Types Of Reprisal Attacks and a
3 hundred other things.

4 All these dirty things are happening every day in 99% of the rich mansions in San Francisco
5 and Silicon Valley.
6

7 The family members that ignore these crimes are just a big a bunch of scumbags as the
8 parents.
9

10 If you think that your billionaire family is 100% clean then let's take a meeting at the FBI
11 offices on a bet. I bet you ten million dollars that federal agents can bust your family for at least one
12 crime. You really can't exist in Northern California and have a dynastic family with a billion
13 dollars, or more, in your accounts and not be doing crimes in this area.

14 Many have met, and hung out with hundreds of Bay Area billionaires and millionaires and
15 found that almost every one of them are crooks with dirty law firms, filthy CPA's, janked-up
16 lobbyists and sicko PR firms to keep the swill boiling.
17

18 If you say otherwise: Take The Bet!

19 These are the steps that the Public, must demand to strengthen public integrity by
20 eliminating corrupt financial conflicts in Congress.
21

22 Congress must be ordered to eliminate both the appearance and the potential for financial
23 conflicts of interest. Americans must be confident that actions taken by public officials are intended
24 to serve the public, and not those officials. These actions counter-act the actions taken by
25 Administration staff and Department of Energy officials in illicit coordination with U.S. Senators.
26

27 We experienced all of the damages from each of the abuse-of-power issues listed below.
28 Your public officials are being paid **BRIBES** through their family stock market holdings.

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1 **CUT THEM OFF** - Demand that Congress make it a felony for any politician, judge or
2 regulator to own stocks, or to let their family own stocks. If they want to get rich, they can go into
3 another line of work.

4 If you can get these laws made, it will end 90% of American corruption. Politicians won't
5 allow these laws to be made because it will cut off their corruption. Thus: You have to force the
6 politicians to make these laws and leverage them with investigations and recall elections.
7

8 These are the actions needed to resolve this corruption:

9
10 • Ban individual stock ownership by Members of Congress, Cabinet Secretaries, senior
11 congressional staff, federal judges, White House staff and other senior agency officials while
12 in office. Prohibit all government officials from holding or trading stock where its value
13 might be influenced by their agency, department, or actions.

14 • Apply conflict of interest laws to the President and Vice President through the
15 Presidential Conflicts of Interest Act, which would require the President and the Vice
16 President to place conflicted assets, including businesses, into a blind trust to be sold off

17 • Require senior Department of Energy government officials, employees, contractors
18 and White House staff to divest from privately-owned assets that could present conflicts,
19 including large companies like Tesla, Google, Facebook, Sony, Netflix, etc., and
20 commercial real estate.

21 • Make it a felony to not respond to a filing by a citizen within 48 hours. Former White
22 House and Energy Department staff use 'stone-walling' to intentionally delay responses for a
23 decade, or more.

24 • Apply ethics rules to all government employees, including unpaid White House staff
25 and advisors.

26 • Require most executive branch employees to recuse from all issues that might
27 financially benefit themselves or a previous employer or client from the preceding 4 years.

28 • Create conflict-free investment opportunities for federal officials with new

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1 investment accounts managed by the Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board and
2 conflict-free mutual funds.

3 • Close and lock the Revolving Door between industry and government and stop tech
4 companies from buying influence in the government or profiting off of the public service of
5 any official.

6 • Lifetime ban on lobbying by Presidents, Vice Presidents, Members of Congress,
7 federal judges, and Cabinet Secretaries; and, multi-year bans on all other federal employees
8 from lobbying their former office, department, House of Congress, or agency after they
9 leave government service until the end of the Administration, but at least for 2 years (and at
10 least 6 years for corporate lobbyists).

11 • Limit the ability of companies to buy influence through former government officials.
12 • Require income disclosures from former senior officials 4 years after federal
13 employment.

14 • Prohibit companies from immediately hiring or paying any senior government
15 official from an agency, department, or Congressional office recently lobbied by that
16 company.

17 • Prohibit the world's largest companies, banks, and monopolies (measured by annual
18 revenue or market capitalization) from hiring or paying any former senior government
19 official for 4 years after they leave government service.

20 • Limit the ability of companies to buy influence through current government
21 employees.

22 • Prohibit current lobbyists from taking government jobs for 2 years after lobbying; 6
23 years for corporate lobbyists. Public, written waivers where such hiring is in the national
24 interest are allowed for non-corporate lobbyists only.

25 • Prohibit corporate outlaws like Google, Tesla, Facebook, Linkedin, Netflix, Sony,
26 etc., from working in government by banning the hiring of top corporate leaders whose
27 companies were caught breaking federal law in the last 6 years.

28 • Prohibit contractor corruption by blocking federal contractor and licensee employees

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1 from working at the agency awarding the contract or license for 4 years.

- 2 • Ban “Golden Parachutes” that provide corporate bonuses to executives for federal
- 3 service.
- 4 • Publicly expose all influence-peddling in Washington.
- 5 • Strengthen and expand the federal definition of a “lobbyist” to include all individuals
- 6 paid to influence government.
- 7 • Create a new “corporate lobbyist” definition to identify individuals paid to influence
- 8 government on behalf of for- profit entities and their front-groups.
- 9 • Radically expand disclosure of lobbyist activities and influence campaigns by
- 10 requiring all lobbyists to disclose any specific bills, policies, and government actions they
- 11 attempt to influence; any meetings with public officials; and any documents they provide to
- 12 those officials.
- 13 • End Influence-Peddling by Foreign Actors such as that which occurred in the
- 14 ENER1, Severstal, Solyndra and related scandals.
- 15 • Fire the Fed officials that own, trade and pump stocks using the Fed itself for
- 16 profiteering.
- 17 • The most senior officials in the U.S. Government are the worshipers of Elon Musk, investor’s in Elon Musk’s companies and suppliers, deciders of the financing for Elon Musk, suppliers of staffing to Elon Musk, recipients of political campaign financing by Elon Musk and Musk’s covert Google And Facebook partnership, social friends of Elon Musk and the attackers of Elon Musk’s competitors. Make this a felony.
- 18 • Combat foreign influence in Washington by banning all foreign lobbying.
- 19 • End foreign lobbying by Americans by banning American lobbyists from accepting
- 20 money from foreign governments, foreign individuals, and foreign companies to influence
- 21 United States public policy.
- 22 • Prohibit current lobbyists from taking government jobs for 2 years after lobbying; 6
- 23 years for corporate lobbyists. Public, written waivers where such hiring is in the national
- 24 interest are allowed for non-corporate lobbyists only.

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

- 1 • End Legalized Lobbyist Bribery and stop lobbyists from trading money for
- 2 government favors.
- 3 • Ban direct political donations from lobbyists to candidates or Members of Congress.
- 4 • End lobbyist contingency fees that allow lobbyists to be paid for a guaranteed policy
- 5 outcome.
- 6 • End lobbyist gifts to the executive and legislative branch officials they lobby.
- 7 • Strengthen Congressional independence from lobbyists and end Washington's
- 8 dependence on
- 9 lobbyists for "expertise" and information.
- 10 • Make congressional service sustainable by transitioning Congressional staff to
- 11 competitive salaries that track other federal employees.
- 12 • Reinstate the nonpartisan Congressional Office of Technology Assessment to
- 13 provide critical scientific and technological support to Members of Congress.
- 14 • Level the playing field between corporate lobbyists and government by taxing
- 15 excessive lobbying beginning at \$500,000 in annual lobbying expenditures, and use the
- 16 proceeds to help finance Congressional mandated rule-making, fund the National Public
- 17 Advocate, and finance Congressional support agencies.
- 18 • De-politicize the rulemaking process and increase transparency of industry efforts to
- 19 influence federal agencies.
- 20 • Require individuals and corporations to disclose funding or editorial conflicts of
- 21 interest in research submitted to agencies that is not publicly available in peer-reviewed
- 22 publications.
- 23 • Prevent McKinsey-type sham research from undermining the public interest by
- 24 requiring that studies that present conflicts of interest to undergo independent peer review to
- 25 be considered in the rule-making process.
- 26 • Require agencies to justify withdrawn public interest rules via public, written
- 27 explanations.
- 28 • Close loopholes exploited by powerful corporations like Google, Facebook, Tesla,

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1 Netflix, Sony, etc., to block public interest actions.

2 • Eliminate loopholes that allow corporations, like Tesla and Google, to tilt the rules in

3 their favor and against the public interest.

4 • Restrict negotiated rule-making to stop industry from delaying or dominating the

5 rule-making process by ending the practice of inviting industry to negotiate rules they have

6 to follow.

7 • Restrict inter-agency review as a tool for corporate abuse by banning informal

8 review, establishing a maximum 45-day review period, and blocking closed -door industry

9 lobbying at the White House’s Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs.

10 • Limit abusive injunctions from rogue judges, like Jackson, et al, by ensuring that

11 only Appeals Courts, not individual District Court judges , can temporarily block agencies

12 from implementing final rules.

13 • Prevent hostile agencies from sham delays of implementation and enforcement by

14 using the presence of litigation to postpone the implementation of final rules.

15 • Empower the public to police agencies for corporate capture.

16 • Increase the ability of the public to make sure their interests are considered when

17 agencies act.

18 • Create a new Office of the Public Advocate empowered to assist the public in

19 meaningfully engaging in the rule-making process across the federal government.

20 • Encourage enforcement by allowing private lawsuits from members of the public to

21 hold agencies accountable for failing to complete rules or enforce the law, and to hold

22 corporations accountable for breaking the rules.

23 • Inoculate government agencies against corporate capture such as Google undertook

24 against the White House.

25 • Provide agencies with the tools and resources to implement strong rules that reflect

26 the will of Congress and protect the public.

27 • Boost agency resources to level the playing field between corporate lobbyists and

28 federal agencies by using the proceeds of the tax on excessive lobbying and the anti-

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1 corruption penalty fees to help finance Congress-mandated rule-making and facilitate
2 decisions by agencies that are buried in an avalanche of lobbyist activity.

3 • Reform judicial review to prevent corporations from gaming the courts by requiring
4 courts to presumptively defer to agency interpretations of laws and prohibiting courts from
5 considering sham McKinsey studies and research excluded by agencies from the rule-
6 making process.

7 • Reverse the Congressional Review Act provision banning related rules that prevent
8 agencies from implementing the will of Congress based on Congress' prior disapproval of a
9 different, narrow rule on a similar topic.

10 • Improve judicial integrity and defend access to justice for all Americans.

11 • Strengthen Judicial Ethics Requirements.

12 • Enhance the integrity of the judicial branch by strengthening rules that prevent
13 conflicts of interest.

14 • Ban individual stock ownership by federal judges.

15 • Expand rules prohibiting judges from accepting gifts or payments to attend private
16 seminars from private individuals and corporations.

17 • Require ethical behavior by the Supreme Court by directing the Court to follow the
18 Code of Conduct that binds all other federal judges.

19 • Boost the transparency of Federal Courts.

20 • Enhance public insight into the judicial process by increasing information about the
21 process and reducing barriers to accessing information.

22 • Increase disclosure of non-judicial activity by federal judges by requiring the Judicial
23 Conference to publicly post judges' financial reports, recusal decisions, and speeches.

24 • Enhance public access to court activity by mandating that federal appellate courts
25 live-stream, on the web, audio of their proceedings, making case information easily-
26 accessible to the public free of charge, and requiring federal courts to share case assignment
27 data in bulk.

28 • Eliminate barriers that restrict access to justice to all but the wealthiest individuals

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1 and companies.

- 2 • Reduce barriers that prevent individuals from having their case heard in court by
- 3 restoring pleading standards that make it easier for individuals and businesses that have been
- 4 harmed to make their case before a judge.
- 5 • Encourage diversity on the Federal Bench.
- 6 • Strengthen the integrity of the judicial branch by increasing the focus on personal
- 7 and professional diversity of the federal bench.
- 8 • Create a single, new, and independent agency dedicated to enforcing federal ethics
- 9 and anti-corruption laws.
- 10 • Support stronger ethics and public integrity laws with stronger enforcement.
- 11 • Establish the new, independent U.S. Office of Public Integrity, which will strengthen
- 12 federal ethics enforcement with new investigative and disciplinary powers.
- 13 • Investigate potential violations by any individual or entity, including individuals and
- 14 companies with new subpoena authority.
- 15 • Enforce the nation's ethics laws by ordering corrective action, levying civil and
- 16 administrative penalties, and referring egregious violations to the Justice Department for
- 17 criminal arrest and enforcement.
- 18 • Receive and investigate ethics complaints from members of the public.
- 19 • Absorb the U.S. Office of Government Ethics as a new Government Ethics Division
- 20 tasked with providing confidential advice to federal employees seeking ethics guidance.
- 21 • Consolidate anti-corruption and public integrity oversight over federal officials,
- 22 including oversight of all agency Inspectors General, all ethics matters for White House staff
- 23 and agency heads, and all waivers and recusals by senior government officials.
- 24 • Remain independent and protected from partisan politics through a single Director
- 25 operating under strict selection, appointment, and removal criteria.
- 26 • Provide easy online access to key government ethics and transparency documents,
- 27 including financial disclosures; lobbyist registrations; lobbyist disclosures of meetings and
- 28 materials; and all ethics records, recusals, and waivers.

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

- 1 • Maintain a new government-wide Office of the Public Advocate, which would
- 2 advocate for the public interest in executive branch rule-making.
- 3 • Enforce federal open records and FOIA requirements by maintaining the central
- 4 FOIA website and working with the National Archives to require agencies to comply with
- 5 FOIA.
- 6 • Strengthen legislative branch enforcement.
- 7 • Expand an independent and empowered ethics office insulated from congressional
- 8 politics.
- 9 • Expand and empower the U.S. Office of Congressional Ethics, which will enforce
- 10 the nation's ethics laws in the Congress and the entire Legislative Branch, including the
- 11 U.S. Senate.
- 12 • Conduct investigations of potential violations of ethics laws and rules by Members of
- 13 Congress and staff with new subpoena power.
- 14 • Refer criminal and civil violations to the Justice Department, the Office of Public
- 15 Integrity, or other relevant state or federal law enforcement.
- 16 • Recommend disciplinary and corrective action to the House and Senate Ethics
- 17 Committees.
- 18 • Boost transparency in government and fix Federal Open Records laws, public official
- 19 and candidate tax disclosure.
- 20 • Disclose basic tax return information for candidates for federal elected office and
- 21 current elected officials.
- 22 • Require the IRS to release tax returns for Presidential and Vice-Presidential
- 23 candidates from the previous 8 years and during each year in federal elected office.
- 24 • Require the IRS to release tax returns for Congressional candidates from the
- 25 previous 2 years and during each year in federal elected office.
- 26 • Require the IRS to release tax returns and other financial information of businesses
- 27 owned by senior federal officials and candidates for federal office.
- 28 • Require the IRS to release tax filings for nonprofit organizations run by candidates

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1 for federal office.

2 • Disclose the Cash behind Washington Advocacy and Lobbying.

3 • Prevent special interests from using secret donations from corporations and
4 billionaires to influence public policy without disclosure.

5 • Require nonprofit organizations to list donors who bankrolled the production of any
6 specific rule-making comment, congressional testimony, or lobbying material, and to reveal
7 whether the donors reviewed or edited the document.

8 • Require individuals and corporations to disclose funding or editorial conflicts of
9 interest in research submitted to agencies that is not publicly available in peer-reviewed
10 publications.

11 • Prevent sham research, like that from DNC shill McKinsey Consulting, from
12 undermining the public interest by requiring that studies that present conflicts of interest to
13 independent peer review to be considered in the rule-making process.

14 • Improve the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA).

15 • Close the loopholes in our open records laws that allow federal officials to hide tech
16 industry and Silicon Valley oligarch industry influence.

17 • Codify the default presumption of disclosure and affirmatively disclose records of
18 public interest, including meeting agendas; government contracts; salaries; staff diversity;
19 and reports to Congress.

20 • Require all agencies to use a central FOIA website that is searchable and has
21 downloadable open records databases with all open FOIA requests and all records disclosed
22 through FOIA.

23 • Strengthen FOIA enforcement by limiting FOIA exemptions and loopholes, and by
24 giving the National Archives the authority to overrule agency FOIA decisions and to compel
25 disclosure.

26 • Extend FOIA to private-sector federal contractors, including private federal prisons
27 and immigration detention centers, and require large federal contractors to disclose political
28 spending.

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1 • Make Congress more transparent by ending the corporate lobbyists leg up in the
2 legislative process. The public deserves to know what Congress is up to and how lobbyists
3 influence legislation.

4 • Require all congressional committees to immediately post online more information,
5 including hearings and markup schedules, bill or amendments text, testimonies, documents
6 entered into the hearing record, hearing transcripts, written witness answers, and hearing
7 audio and video recordings.

8 • Require Members of Congress to post a link to their searchable voting record on their
9 official websites.

10 • Require lobbyists to disclose when they lobby a specific congressional office;
11 specific topics of visit; the official action being requested; and all documents provided to the
12 office during the visit.

13 Do these seem like common-sense rules that should have already been in place? ***They are!***

15 These anti-corruption rules have been blocked by your own elected officials because they
16 work for themselves and not you!

17 You need to PUNISH any public official who does not put these changes into effect!

19 We are not asking for your money or your mailing list data. **First:** Simply put the logo at the
20 top of his page ([download it here](#)) on all of your blogs, websites, social media, T-shirts and other
21 visible locations. **Next:** only vote for candidates who promise to enact the platform goals, above,
22 and stop any candidates who do not promise, in writing, to enact these goals.

24 That's it. It is that easy!

25 If you want to **support us**, then promote this website link and copy-paste the text on it
26 around the globe. You don't need to give us your money. Spend it on media and marketing
27 promotions of this site and the text on it. You can use [www.newswire.com](#), Mohr Publicity,

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1 promoteyourwebsite.com, and many other services, to make the message global.
2
3

4 **News And Reports:**

5 [Report: Over 131 Federal Judges Broke The Law by Hearing Cases Where They Had A](#)
6 [Financial Interest](#)

7 **Places Where You Can Research Ant-Corruption Tools:**

8 [*http://www.majestic111.com*](#)

9 [*http://vcracket.weebly.com*](#)

10 [*https://www.transparency.org*](#)

11 [*https://www.judicialwatch.org*](#)

12 [*https://wikileaks.org*](#)

13 [*https://causeofaction.org*](#)

14 [*https://fusion4freedom.com/about-gcf/*](#)

15 [*http://peterschweizer.com/*](#)

16 [*http://globalinitiative.nethttps://fusion4freedom.com/the-green-corruption-files-archive/*](#)

17 [*https://propublica.org*](#)

18 [*https://www.allsides.com/unbiased-balanced-news*](#)

19 [*http://wearethenewmedia.com*](#)

20 [*http://ec.europa.eu/anti_fraud/index_en.html*](#)

21 [*http://gopacnetwork.org/*](#)

22 [*http://www.iaaca.org/News/*](#)

23 [*http://www.interpol.int/Crime-areas/Corruption/Corruption*](#)

24 [*http://www.icac.nsw.gov.au/*](#)

25 [*http://www.traceinternational.org/*](#)

26 [*http://www.oge.gov/*](#)

27 [*https://ogc.commerce.gov/*](#)

28 [*https://anticorruptionact.org/*](#)

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1 <http://www.anticorruptionintl.org/>
2 <https://represent.us/>
3 http://www.giaccentre.org/dealing_with_corruption.php
4 <http://www.acfe.com/>
5 <https://www.oas.org/juridico/english/FightCur.html>
6 <https://www.opus.com/international-anti-corruption-day-businesses/>
7 <https://www.opengovpartnership.org/theme/anti-corruption>
8 <https://www.ethicalsystems.org/content/corruption>
9 <https://sunlightfoundation.com/>
10 <http://www.googletransparencyproject.org/>
11 <http://xyzcase.weebly.com>
12 <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Angelgate>
13 <https://www.opensecrets.org/>
14 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-Tech_Employee_Antitrust_Litigation
15 <http://www.projectveritasaction.com>
16
17
18
19

[Senators Warren, Daines Introduce New Bipartisan Bill To Ban Members of ...](#)

20 warren.senate.gov/newsroom/press-releases/senators-warren-daines-introduce...
21 February 09, 2022 Senators Warren, Daines Introduce New Bipartisan Bill To Ban Members
22 of Congress from Owning and Trading Stocks Sens. Blackburn and Stabenow Join as Original Co-
23 Sponsors for a strong bipartisan coalition Reps. Jayapal and Rosendale Introduce the Bill in the
24 House of Representatives Bill Text (PDF) | One Page Summary (PDF)
25
26
27

[At Hearing on Insider Trading, Senator Warren Makes ... - Elizabeth Warren](#)

28

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1 warren.senate.gov/newsroom/press-releases/at-hearing-on-insider-trading...
2 — During a U.S. Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, United States
3 Senator Elizabeth Warren (D-Mass.) made the case for her bill with Senator Steve Daines (R-
4 Mont.), the Bipartisan Ban on Congressional Stock Ownership Act (S. 3631), which would ban
5 Members of Congress and their spouses from owning and trading individual stocks.
6
7

[Elizabeth Warren says her bill to ban Congress from ... - Business Insider](#)

9 businessinsider.com/elizabeth-warren-ban-congress-stocks-insider-trading...
10 Warren introduced a bill in February alongside Republican Sen. Steve Daines of Montana in
11 February that would ban members of Congress and their spouses from owning and trading stocks,
12 bonds,...
13
14

[Senators Warren and Daines to File Bipartisan Stock Ban Bill](#)

16 investopedia.com/senators-warren-and-daines-to-file-bipartisan-stock-ban...
17 Published February 10, 2022 Senators Elizabeth Warren (D-MA) and Steve Daines (R-MT)
18 will partner to introduce a bipartisan bill prohibiting U.S. lawmakers and their spouses from owning
19 or trading...
20
21

[Elizabeth Warren Wants Stock Trading Ban For State Lawmakers, Other ...](#)

23 huffpost.com/entry/elizabeth-warren-stock-trading-ban_n_620ddae1e4b0557b5a...
24 Warren unveiled a bipartisan bill with Sens. Debbie Stabenow (D-Mich.), Steve Daines (R-
25 Mont.) and Marsha Blackburn (R-Tenn.) last week that would ban members of Congress and their
26 spouses from owning or trading individual stocks. The bill would institute heavy penalties for
27 violations.
28

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1

2

[Elizabeth Warren Pushes for Stock Trade Ban Even for 'the ... - Insider](#)

3

businessinsider.com/elizabeth-warren-calls-for-congress-stock-trade-ban...

4

Elizabeth Warren says banning lawmakers from trading stocks should be a key priority for
Democrats. She says it's "obvious" to the American people "whether you're a Republican senator or
the...

5

6

[Pelosi, other stock-trading pols could avoid capital gains taxes under ...](#)

7

nypost.com/2022/02/14/pelosi-other-stock-trading-pols-could-avoid-capital...

8

Sen. Elizabeth Warren of Massachusetts will introduce legislation that would require
members of Congress to divest all stocks. REUTERS "The mood and climate is not conducive to
giving members of...

9

10

[It's 'Obvious' Why Politicians Shouldn't Trade Stocks: Elizabeth Warren ...](#)

11

newsmax.com/newsfront/elizabeth-warren-trade-stocks-obvious/2022/04/18/id/...

12

A. Banning lawmakers from trading stocks should be a key priority for lawmakers, writes
Elizabeth Warren in an opinion column in The New York Times. "Whether you're a Republican
senator or the Democratic speaker of the House, it is obvious to the American people that they
should not be allowed to trade individual stocks and then vote on ...

13

14

[It's Time to Ban Insider Trading by Members of Congress](#)

15

childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/ban-insider-trading-congress

16

And just this week, Sens. Elizabeth Warren (D-Mass.) and Steve Daines (R-Mont.) teamed

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NorCal 9th District – 7.29.22 – EXHIBIT- U.S. Congress Verifies Plaintiff Assertions PART 2 - CASE NO.: [3:22-cv-01107-WHA](#)

1 up on a bipartisan stock ban bill, Sen. Kirsten Gillibrand (D-N.Y.) introduced her STOCK Act 2.0
2 legislation with Rep. Katie Porter (D-Calif.), and Andy Kim (D-N.J.) introduced his Restoring Trust
3 in Government Servants Act. The momentum is palpable.

4

5 Congress Has Finally Concluded That Insider Trading Is Bad

6

7 newrepublic.com/article/165337/stocks-insider-trading-spanberger-ossoff

8

9

10 DATED this day of the filing of 2022

11 Respectfully submitted,

12 (SIGNED ELECTRONICALLY)

13 Name: SD Redmond
14 Address: 210 S. Ellsworth Ave, #1275
15 San Mateo, CA 94401
16 Phone Number: 510-868-2862
17 *Pro Se – A federal witness*

18 EXHIBITS AND PROOFS ARE PROVIDED AS SEPARATE NUMBERED DOCUMENTS

19 (PAGES PAST THIS SENTENCE ARE INTENTIONALLY BLANK)